



# Exams Malpractice Policy

<b>Approved On/By:</b>	
<b>Last Reviewed On:</b>	12 <sup>th</sup> March 2026
<b>Next Review Due By:</b>	Spring Term 2027
<b>Monitoring &amp; Review:</b>	Annually
<b>Staff Member(S) Responsible:</b>	A Lee

## Exams Malpractice Policy

Centre name	Hodge Hill College
Centre number	20125
Date policy first created	16/04/2026
Current policy approved by	Mr A Lee
Current policy reviewed by	Mr A Lee
Date of review	16/04/2026
Date of next review	16/04/2027

## Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name
Head of centre	Mrs Hannah Herrmann
Senior leader(s)	Mrs Lisa Millington
Exams officer	Mr Ajay Lee
Other staff (if applicable)	Mr Zeeshan Naqvi

This policy is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that any malpractice at Hodge Hill College is managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

Reference in the policy to **GR** and **SMPP** relate to relevant sections of the current JCQ documents **General Regulations for Approved Centres** and **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures**.

## Introduction

### What are malpractice and maladministration?

'Malpractice' and 'maladministration' are distinct but related concepts, the common theme being that they involve a failure to follow the rules of an examination or assessment. This policy and procedure uses the word 'malpractice' to cover both 'malpractice' and 'maladministration' and it means any act, default or practice which is:

- a breach of the Regulations, and/or
- a breach of awarding body requirements regarding how a qualification should be delivered, and/or
- a failure to follow established procedures in relation to a qualification which:
- gives rise to prejudice to candidates, and/or

- compromises public confidence in qualifications, and/or
- compromises, attempts to compromise or may compromise the process of assessment, the integrity of any qualification or the validity of a result or certificate, and/or
- damages the authority, reputation or credibility of any awarding body or centre or any officer, employee or agent of any awarding body or centre (SMPP 1)

### **Candidate malpractice**

'Candidate malpractice' normally involves malpractice by a candidate in connection with any examination or assessment, including the preparation and authentication of any controlled assessments, coursework or nonexamination assessments, the presentation of any practical work, the compilation of portfolios of assessment evidence and the completion of any examination. (SMPP 2)

### **Centre staff malpractice**

'Centre staff malpractice' means malpractice committed by:

- a member of staff, contractor (whether employed under a contract of employment or a contract for services) or a volunteer at a centre, or
- an individual appointed in another capacity by a centre, such as an invigilator, a Communication Professional, a Language Modifier, a practical assistant, a prompter, a reader or a scribe (SMPP 2)

### **Centre malpractice**

'Centre malpractice' normally involves malpractice where there is an element of systemic failure, a breach in policies or widespread malpractice such that a centre-level sanction is appropriate (SMPP 2)

### **Suspected malpractice**

For the purposes of this document, suspected malpractice means all alleged or suspected incidents of malpractice (regardless of how the incident might be categorised, as described in SMPP, section 1.9). (SMPP 2)

## **Purpose of the policy**

To confirm Hodge Hill College:

- has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written malpractice policy which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre detailing how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body; it must also acknowledge the use of AI (e.g. what AI is, when it may be used and how it should be acknowledged, the risks of using AI, what AI misuse is and how this will be treated as malpractice) (GR 5.3)

## **General principles**

In accordance with the regulations Hodge Hill College will:

- take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after assessments have taken place (GR 5.11)
- inform the awarding body **immediately** of any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration, involving a candidate or a member of staff, by completing the appropriate documentation (GR 5.11)
- as required by an awarding body, gather evidence of any instances of alleged or suspected malpractice (which includes maladministration) in accordance with the current JCQ document **Suspected Malpractice - Policies and Procedures** and provide such information and advice as the awarding body may reasonably require (GR 5.11)

## **Preventing malpractice**

Hodge Hill College has in place:

- Robust processes to prevent and identify malpractice, as outlined in section 3 of the JCQ document **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures**. (SMPP 4.3)

- This includes ensuring that staff involved in the delivery of assessments and examinations understand the requirements for conducting these as specified in the following JCQ documents and any further awarding body guidance:
- General Regulations for Approved Centres 2025-2026
- Instructions for conducting examinations (ICE) 2025-2026
- Instructions for conducting coursework 2025-2026
- Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments 2025-2026
- Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments 2025-2026
- A guide to the special consideration process 2025-2026
- Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures 2025-2026 (this document)
- Plagiarism in Assessments
- AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications
- Post Results Services June 2025 and November 2025
- A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes 2025-2026
- Guidance for centres on cyber security

All candidates are issued with a copy of the JCQ document "**Information for candidates – written exams 2025 – 2026**" prior to each exam series. Candidates are also issued with copies of "**Information for candidates – onscreen tests 2025 - 2026**" and "**Information for candidates – non examination assessments 2025 – 2026**" prior to taking these assessments.

### **Informing and advising candidates how to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments**

The centre will take all reasonable steps to ensure that candidates are fully informed about what constitutes malpractice and how it can be avoided in accordance with the regulations of the Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ).

Candidates will be made aware that malpractice includes, but is not limited to, cheating, plagiarism, collusion, possession of unauthorised materials or devices, impersonation, and any attempt to gain an unfair advantage in examinations or non-exam assessments.

Prior to the commencement of examinations and assessments, candidates will be issued with clear written guidance outlining examination and assessment regulations, including examples of malpractice and the potential consequences. Candidates will also be informed of JCQ regulations through candidate handbooks, centre policies, and verbal briefings delivered by teaching staff and/or examinations personnel.

In addition, candidates will be reminded that:

- Mobile phones, smartwatches, and other electronic devices must not be brought into the examination room unless specifically permitted.
- Only authorised materials and equipment may be taken into examinations and assessments.
- All work submitted for non-exam assessment must be the candidate's own independent work and all sources must be appropriately acknowledged.
- Communication with other candidates during examinations is strictly prohibited.

Candidates will be made aware that failure to adhere to these requirements may constitute malpractice and could result in sanctions, including the loss of marks, disqualification from a unit or qualification, or other penalties in line with JCQ regulations.

The centre will ensure that candidates are regularly reminded of these requirements through ongoing verbal reminders, displayed notices in examination rooms (including the JCQ "Warning to Candidates"), and preexamination briefings.

Candidates will be encouraged to seek clarification from centre staff if they are unsure about any aspect of examination or assessment regulations in order to prevent unintentional breaches of malpractice rules.

## AI use in assessments

For any assessments that could be affected by the use of AI, the teaching staff will inform the candidates of the regulations of if/when AI technology can be used, and also the consequences of its misuse. Staff have been directed towards the AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications guidance.

Candidates are informed of the 'Warning to Candidates' and 'Unauthorised Items' posters which are also outside all exam rooms. The Suggested wording for invigilators' announcements at the beginning of written examinations also details what can and cannot be done in the exam environment and is read out at the beginning of every exam

Candidates will be issued with of the JCQ **Information for candidates - AI (Artificial Intelligence and assessments)** or similar centre document prior to completing their work/prior to signing the declaration of authentication.

## Identification and reporting of malpractice

### Escalating suspected malpractice issues

Once suspected malpractice is identified, any member of staff at the centre can report it using the appropriate channels. (SMPP 4.3)

In cases of suspected external assessment malpractice, the exams officer Ajay Lee will conduct a full enquiry in consultation with the head of centre Hannah Herrmann. Any relevant evidence will be collected and statements taken from witnesses. Candidates suspected of malpractice will be made aware at the earliest opportunity of the nature of accusation, and of the possible consequences. A booklet produced by the exams officer is provided to students, explaining the next steps to be taken. Parents/guardians will also be informed in writing.

### Reporting suspected malpractice to the awarding body

- The head of centre will notify the appropriate awarding body immediately of all alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice, using the appropriate forms, and will conduct any investigation and gathering of information in accordance with the requirements of the JCQ document **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures** (SMPP 4.1.3)
- The head of centre will ensure that, where a candidate is a child or an adult at risk and is the subject of a malpractice investigation, the candidate's parent/carer/ appropriate adult is kept informed of the progress of the investigation (SMPP 4.1.3)
- Form JCQ/M1 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of candidate malpractice. Form JCQ/M2 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of suspected staff malpractice/maladministration (SMPP 4.4, 4.6)
- Candidate malpractice offences relating to the content of work (i.e. inappropriate/offensive content, copying/collusion, plagiarism (including AI misuse) and/or false declaration of authentication) which are discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non-examination assessment component prior to the candidate signing the declaration of authentication, do not need to be reported to the awarding body. Instead, they will be dealt with in accordance with the centre's internal procedures.

Malpractice by a candidate discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non-examination assessment where the offence does not relate to the content of candidates' work (e.g. possession of unauthorised materials, breach of assessment conditions) or where a candidate has signed the declaration of authentication, must be reported using a JCQ M1 to the relevant awarding body. If, at the time of the malpractice, there is no entry for that candidate (who the centre intended to enter), the centre is required to submit an entry by the required entry deadline. (SMPP 4.5)

- If, in the view of the investigator, there is sufficient evidence that an individual may have committed malpractice, that individual (the candidate or the member of staff) will be informed of all the required information and the accused individual informed of their rights and responsibilities (SMPP 5.33-3.4)
- Once the information gathering has concluded, the head of centre (or other appointed informationgatherer) will submit a written report to the relevant awarding body summarising the information obtained and actions taken, accompanied by the information obtained during the course of their enquiries (5.35)
- Form JCQ/M1 will be used when reporting candidate cases; for centre staff, form JCQ/M3 will be used (SMPP 5.37)
- The awarding body will decide on the basis of the report, and any supporting documentation, whether there is evidence of malpractice and if any further investigation is required. The head of centre will be informed accordingly (SMPP 5.40)

## **Communicating malpractice decisions**

Once a decision has been made, it will be communicated in writing to the head of centre as soon as possible. The head of centre will communicate the decision to the individuals concerned and pass on details of any sanctions and action in cases where this is indicated. The head of centre will also inform the individuals if they have the right to appeal. (SMPP 11.1)

## **Appeals against decisions made in cases of malpractice**

Hodge Hill College will:

- Provide the individual with information on the process and timeframe for submitting an appeal, where relevant
- Refer to further information and follow the process provided in the JCQ document **A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes**

## **Changes 2025/2026**

(Added) New heading **Centre malpractice** added.

(Added) Under heading **Preventing malpractice** added to the list of JCQ documents.

(Added/amended) Under heading **AI use in assessments**:

- additional/amended text added in bullet points to reflect slight changes in SMPP
- optional insert field added referencing the JCQ document **Information for candidates - AI (Artificial Intelligence and assessments)** or similar centre document.

(Amended) Under heading **Reporting suspected malpractice to the awarding body** text amended to reflect wording changes/additions in SMPP.