




# Exams Malpractice and Appeals Policy 2023-2024

<b>Approved On/By:</b>	Full Governing Body
<b>Last Reviewed On:</b>	FGB Committee 27 <sup>th</sup> September 2023
<b>Next Review Due By:</b>	FGB Autumn Term 2024
<b>Monitoring &amp; Review:</b>	Annually
<b>Staff Member(S) Responsible:</b>	Ajay Lee



## Exams Malpractice & Appeals Policy 2023 – 24

Centre Name	Hodge Hill College
Centre Number	20125
Date policy first created	21/09/2023
Current policy approved by	Full Governing Body
Current policy reviewed by	Fully Governing Body 27 <sup>th</sup> September 2023

### Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name
Head of Centre	Mrs Hannah Herrmann
Exams officer	Mr Ajay Lee

This policy is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that any malpractice at Hodge Hill College is managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

Reference in the policy to **GR** and **SMPP** relate to relevant sections of the current JCQ publications **General Regulations for Approved Centres** and **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures**.

## **Introduction**

### **What is malpractice and maladministration?**

'Malpractice' and 'maladministration' are related concepts, the common theme of which is that they involve a failure to follow the rules of an examination or assessment. This policy and procedure uses the word 'malpractice' to cover both 'malpractice' and 'maladministration' and it means any act, default or practice which is:

- a breach of the Regulations
- a breach of awarding body requirements regarding how a qualification should be delivered
- a failure to follow established procedures in relation to a qualification which:
- gives rise to prejudice to candidates
- compromises public confidence in qualifications
- compromises, attempts to compromise or may compromise the process of assessment, the integrity of any qualification or the validity of a result or certificate
- damages the authority, reputation or credibility of any awarding body or centre or any officer, employee or agent of any awarding body or centre (SMPP 1)

### **Candidate malpractice**

'Candidate malpractice' means malpractice by a candidate in connection with any examination or assessment, including the preparation and authentication of any controlled assessments, coursework or non-examination assessments, the presentation of any practical work, the compilation of portfolios of assessment evidence and the writing of any examination paper. (SMPP 2)

### **Centre staff malpractice**

'Centre staff malpractice' means malpractice committed by:

- a member of staff, contractor (whether employed under a contract of employment or a contract for services) or a volunteer at a centre; or
- an individual appointed in another capacity by a centre such as an invigilator, a Communication Professional, a Language Modifier, a practical assistant, a prompter, a reader or a scribe (SMPP 2)

### **Suspected malpractice**

For the purposes of this document, suspected malpractice means all alleged or suspected incidents of malpractice. (SMPP 2)

### **Purpose of the policy**

To confirm Hodge Hill College:

- has in place a written malpractice policy which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre and details how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body (GR 5.3)

## General principles

In accordance with the regulations Hodge Hill College will:

- Take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after examinations have taken place (GR 5.11)
- Inform the awarding body immediately of any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration, involving a candidate or a member of staff, by completing the appropriate documentation (GR 5.11)
- As required by an awarding body, gather evidence of any instances of alleged or suspected malpractice (which includes maladministration) in accordance with the JCQ publication **Suspected Malpractice - Policies and Procedures** and provide such information and advice as the awarding body may reasonably require (GR 5.11)

## Preventing malpractice

Hodge Hill College has in place:

- Robust processes to prevent and identify malpractice, as outlined in section 3 of the JCQ publication **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures**. (SMPP 4.3)
- This includes ensuring that all staff involved in the delivery of assessments and examinations understand the requirements for conducting these as specified in the following JCQ documents and any further awarding body guidance:
  - *General Regulations for Approved Centres 2023-2024*
  - *Instructions for conducting examinations (ICE) 2023-2024*
  - *Instructions for conducting coursework 2023-2024*
  - *Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments 2023-2024*
  - *Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments 2023-2024*
  - *A guide to the special consideration process 2023-2024*
  - *Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures 2023-2024*
  - *Plagiarism in Assessments*
  - *AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications*
  - *A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes 2023-2024 (SMPP 3.3.1)*

## Informing and advising candidates

All candidates are issued with a copy of the JCQ document "Information for candidates – written exams 2023 – 2024" prior to each exam series. Candidates are also issued with copies of "Information for candidates – onscreen tests 2023 - 2024" and "Information for candidates – non examination assessments 2023 – 2024" prior to taking these assessments.

For any assessments that could be affected by the use of AI, the teaching staff will inform the candidates of the regulations of if/when AI technology can be used, and also the consequences of its misuse. Staff have been directed towards the AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications guidance.

Candidates are informed of the 'Warning to Candidates' and 'Unauthorised Items' posters which are also outside all exam rooms. The Suggested wording for invigilators' announcements at the beginning of written examinations also details what can and cannot be done in the exam environment and is read out at the beginning of every exam

## Identification and reporting of malpractice

### Escalating suspected malpractice issues

- Once suspected malpractice is identified, any member of staff at the centre can report it using the appropriate channels (SMPP 4.3)

In cases of suspected external assessment malpractice, the exams officer Ajay Lee will conduct a full enquiry in consultation with the head of centre Hannah Herrmann. Any relevant evidence will be collected and statements taken from witnesses. Candidates suspected of malpractice will be made aware at the earliest opportunity of the nature of accusation, and of the possible consequences. A booklet produced by the exams officer is provided to students, explaining the next steps to be taken. Parents/guardians will also be informed in writing.

### Reporting suspected malpractice to the awarding body

- The head of centre will notify the appropriate awarding body immediately of all alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice, using the appropriate forms, and will conduct any investigation and gathering of information in accordance with the requirements of the JCQ publication **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures** (SMPP 4.1.3)
- The head of centre will ensure that where a candidate who is a child/vulnerable adult is the subject of a malpractice investigation, the candidate's parent/carer/ appropriate adult is kept informed of the progress of the investigation (SMPP 4.1.3)
- Form JCQ/M1 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of candidate malpractice. Form JCQ/M2 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of suspected staff malpractice/maladministration (SMPP 4.4, 4.6)
- Malpractice by a candidate discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non- examination assessment component prior to the candidate signing the declaration of authentication need not be reported to the awarding body but will be dealt with in accordance with the centre's internal procedures. The only exception to this is where the awarding body's confidential assessment material has potentially been breached. The breach will be reported to the awarding body immediately (SMPP 4.5)
- If, in the view of the investigator, there is sufficient evidence to implicate an individual in malpractice, that individual (a candidate or a member of staff) will be informed of the rights of accused individuals (SMPP 5.33)
- Once the information gathering has concluded, the head of centre (or other appointed informationgatherer) will submit a written report summarising the information obtained and actions taken to the relevant awarding body, accompanied by the information obtained during the course of their enquiries (5.35)

- Form JCQ/M1 will be used when reporting candidate cases; for centre staff, form JCQ/M3 will be used (SMPP 5.37)
- The awarding body will decide on the basis of the report, and any supporting documentation, whether there is evidence of malpractice and if any further investigation is required. The head of centre will be informed accordingly (SMPP 5.40)

### **Communicating malpractice decisions**

Once a decision has been made, it will be communicated in writing to the head of centre as soon as possible. The head of centre will communicate the decision to the individuals concerned and pass on details of any sanctions and action in cases where this is indicated. The head of centre will also inform the individuals if they have the right to appeal. (SMPP 11.1)

### **Appeals against decisions made in cases of malpractice**

Hodge Hill College will:

- Provide the individual with information on the process and timeframe for submitting an appeal, where relevant
- Refer to further information and follow the process provided in the JCQ publication **A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes**